International Journal of Theology, Philosophy and Science
No. 18, Year 10/2026
https://www.ifiasa.com/ijtps ISSN 2601-1697, ISSN-L 2601-1689

https://doi.org/10.26520/ijtps.2026.10.18.80-85

NATURAL DYNAMICS: THE NECESSITY OF CHANGE AND THE CONSEQUENCES OF FORCED STASIS

Yasir Arafat MAASSOOM,
Independent Researcher, Chittagong,
BANGLADESH
Email: yam4212c@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Natural systems—from the expanding cosmos described by general relativity to the evolving immune repertoire, from shifting genetic frequencies to changing dialects—are never static. A non-zero differential is not an occasional feature but a necessary condition of existence. Theoretical physics provides the most concrete, scientifically proven example: the universe does not merely change but accelerates its expansion, and any system (physical, biological, or cultural) that reaches perfect stasis ceases to be a system in any meaningful sense. This manuscript first establishes, across cosmology, immunology, and linguistics, that dynamics is essential to the integrity of any living or semi living system. Stasis is not equilibrium; it is a prelude to dissolution. Having grounded this principle in well-established physical law, we then examine a troubling contemporary trajectory: over the past century, human interventions—vaccination regimes that restrict immune training, global media that flatten dialectal variation, and standardized education that narrows cognitive diversity—have increasingly forced dynamic systems toward artificial equilibrium. The consequence is not stability but compensatory decay: autoimmune disease, linguistic homogenization, and the loss of cultural adaptive capacity. We argue that what appears as "decay" is in fact nature's response to forced stasis. The paper concludes by identifying linguistic diversity as the most accessible and undervalued lever for restoring healthy dynamics, not through nostalgia but through conscious cultivation of change.

Keywords: *natural dynamics; non equilibrium systems; theoretical physics; immunology; linguistic diversity; compensatory decay;*

INTRODUCTION

The principle that natural systems are never truly static is well established across multiple disciplines. In cosmology, the accelerating expansion of the universe (Riess et al., 1998; Perlmutter et al., 1999) demonstrates that even the largest physical system cannot reach equilibrium. In immunology, the adaptive immune system requires continuous exposure to diverse antigens to maintain a functional repertoire; restriction of this dynamic leads to autoimmune disease (Bach, 2002; Rook, 2012). In linguistics, dialect continua and language change are the norm, not the exception (Chambers & Trudgill, 1998; Labov, 2001).

However, existing research has largely treated these dynamics as domain-specific phenomena. Few studies have attempted a cross-disciplinary synthesis asking: What happens when a naturally dynamic system is artificially forced toward stasis? Moreover, the hypothesis that such forced stasis triggers a universal compensatory response – whether autoimmunity, linguistic homogenization, or cultural decay – remains underexplored.

This paper addresses that gap. We propose that a non-zero differential is not merely a descriptive feature of natural systems but a normative condition for their healthy functioning.

International Journal of Theology, Philosophy and Science
No. 18, Year 10/2026
https://www.ifiasa.com/ijtps ISSN 2601-1697, ISSN-L 2601-1689

Precisely because dynamics is essential, forcing a system toward stasis leads to what we perceive as rapid decay – particularly since the beginning of the twentieth century, and accelerating in the twenty-first. Drawing on theoretical physics, immunology, and linguistics, we first establish the necessity of dynamics. We then argue that human interventions over the past century – including aggressive vaccination schedules, global media homogenization, and standardized education – have increasingly forced dynamic systems toward artificial equilibrium. The consequence, we contend, is not stability but compensatory decay. Finally, we identify linguistic diversity as a uniquely accessible lever for restoring healthy dynamics.

Before proceeding, a note on style. In a traditional research article, each new discipline would be introduced with a formal literature review. Here, for the author's convenience and for the reader's comfort, the analyses in cosmology, immunology, linguistics, and other fields are instead introduced through personal experiences. Each section begins with a lived moment – a childhood question, a university lecture, a sermon in Melbourne – and then moves into the scientific or philosophical substance. This approach allows the reader to enter each unfamiliar domain without the whiplash of abrupt disciplinary jargon. Only if a specific topic captures the reader's interest will they need to jump to external sources. The paper is thus written to be read continuously, as an essay, while still engaging seriously with existing research.

The argument is illustrated with examples from natural sciences, engineering, theology, geography, and linguistics. We will examine how these processes are happening before our very eyes – and how we remain blind, or how the phenomena stay invisible or deceive us. We will explore how our activities are threatening us, why this appears so, and ultimately how we might protect ourselves. A central claim is that we are already in a fight, mostly unknowingly. (A later composition will attempt to explain how we may fight back for our survival.)

The paper is structured as follows. Section 1 presents the cosmological argument. Section 2 discusses human cultural evolution. Section 3 examines immunology. Section 4 applies the framework to linguistics. Section 5 offers a self-critique. Section 6 concludes.

Prologue: The Differential That Cannot Be Zero

No natural system – physical, biological, or cultural – remains unchanged. Change is not an accident of nature; it is the engine of nature. A system that ceases to change ceases to exist in any meaningful sense. This is not a philosophical position but a mathematical necessity: the differential must be non-zero.

I first encountered this intuition not in a classroom but in a childhood conversation. Around 1991, in grade eight, I asked a senior family member whether we live inside the earth or outside. "We are definitely *in*," she replied with confidence. I did not prolong the conversation, realizing that if she were right, we would not be breathing at all. That was my first lesson in how deeply we can misunderstand the dynamics of our own environment.

Later, at university in Dhaka, I learned that the earth is round – and, more disorienting, that the universe is expanding. Hard to digest initially, but eventually convincing. Then a further realization: if the universe is expanding, it could in principle also shrink. More fundamentally, a system cannot stay static. The lesson derived is simple: a system, like the universe, must always have a differential non-zero value. This is pure mathematics.

Later still, in Melbourne, at a Friday prayer center, an Ethiopian preacher argued that faith itself is a dynamic entity: it is either increasing or decreasing. This is digestible for the faithful, but what of the atheist? Yet even hardcore secularists often speak of some cosmic balance: if you do good, it will come back to you. Even the faithless, it seems, have faith in the unseen – they simply deny it to keep the argument alive.

International Journal of Theology, Philosophy and Science
No. 18, Year 10/2026
https://www.ifiasa.com/ijtps ISSN 2601-1697, ISSN-L 2601-1689

1. THE EXPANDING UNIVERSE: A MATHEMATICAL NECESSITY

The discovery of the accelerating universe (Riess et al., 1998; Perlmutter et al., 1999) provides the most concrete, empirically verified example of a system that cannot reach stasis. The cosmological constant, or dark energy, drives expansion at an increasing rate.

Non-equilibrium thermodynamics (Prigogine, 1977) generalizes this principle: physical and biological systems maintain a "stable nonequilibrium" where internal processes perpetually oppose the natural drift toward equilibrium. The moment a system – be it a star, a language, or a belief – stops changing, it ceases to be a system in the living sense.

This is not a metaphor. It is mathematics. Any system described by differential equations requires a non-zero derivative to have non-trivial behavior. Stasis is not a special case of dynamics; it is the absence of system-hood.

2. THE RATCHET OF KNOWLEDGE: HUMAN PHILOSOPHY AS PERPETUAL MOTION

We humans are different. We accumulate knowledge and improvise. This is the "ratchet effect": the capacity of human culture to amass ever more effective solutions through repeated innovation and social transmission, leading to technologies that no single individual could alone invent (Tomasello, 1999).

Our history as a species stretches back hundreds of thousands of years, yet our written records are barely five thousand years old. How then can we measure the dynamics of the human race? We do not need the start or end points of the curve. We only need to check if the differentiation is non-zero. Even if a natural calamity destroys our technologies within days, the survivors will begin improving again the very next week. This is how human philosophy stays dynamic: we never stop accumulating knowledge.

This ratchet-like property appears absent in non-human species; socially transmitted behaviors in animal populations are generally no more complex than those that can be acquired by trial and error (Boyd & Richerson, 1985). Yet even this uniquely human capacity is not immune to decay. The very technologies that allow us to preserve knowledge – print, digital media, globalization – may also be narrowing the range of acceptable variation, flattening the dynamic peaks of cultural evolution into a homogenized plain. The question is not whether we change, but whether we change in a way that honors or undermines natural dynamics.

3. IMMUNOLOGY: THE NECESSITY OF TRAINING AND THE CONSEQUENCES OF RESTRICTION

Biology is an ocean too vast to explore fully, yet attempts must be made. Immunology offers a particularly clear window into natural dynamics. We will first establish why immune dynamics are essential, then examine what happens when we force the system toward stasis.

3.1 The Necessity of Immune Dynamics

The adaptive immune system – T cells, B cells, and the vast repertoire of antibodies – has the capacity to adapt promptly and almost infinitely. A person who faces more diseases, yet survives, develops a richer, more robust immune repertoire.

This is the principle of *training*: exposure expands capacity. The immune system is not a static defense; it is a learning machine. Each infection, each encounter with a novel pathogen, leaves a trace – a memory that strengthens future responses. This is why children raised in relatively sterile environments often show weaker immune profiles later in life (Rook, 2012).

International Journal of Theology, Philosophy and Science
No. 18, Year 10/2026
https://www.ifiasa.com/ijtps ISSN 2601-1697, ISSN-L 2601-1689

3.2 The Consequences of Restriction

What happens when we try to restrict this dynamic system? When we immunize ourselves to prevent disease, we do not get sick – but our antibodies are not forced to evolve or diversify. The "hygiene hypothesis" has long proposed that reduced exposure to microbes in early life increases susceptibility to allergic and autoimmune diseases (Strachan, 1989). More recent formulations emphasize that the immune system requires *controlled challenges* to maintain regulatory balance (Bach, 2002).

Now consider sexual immune dimorphism. Females, on average, have stronger immune responses than males – higher antibody production, more vigorous T-cell activation. This confers better protection against infections but also a higher risk of autoimmune diseases, where the immune system attacks self-organs (Klein & Flanagan, 2016). One contributing factor is that females, historically and cross-culturally, have been less exposed to certain environmental pathogens (e.g., through occupational or behavioral differences). A less diverse immune repertoire, when forced to remain dynamic, may turn inward. The system does not stall; it must remain dynamic. And when there is no external foe to fight, it turns on the host.

The implication is significant: if we restrict the system – if we try to make it static – we do not achieve equilibrium. We achieve *compensatory decay*. This pattern – dynamic or die – applies to our neural systems, our reproductive systems, our digestive systems, and, as we shall now argue, our linguistic systems.

4. LINGUISTICS: THE VANISHING VOICE AND THE LAST FRONTIER OF RESISTANCE

Now we turn to the least known, most underestimated, yet most economical domain: linguistics.

4.1 Language as a Naturally Dynamic System

Languages, like biological systems, are naturally dynamic. Words are born and die. Syntax shifts. Pronunciation drifts. Dialects form continua: when a linguistic community spreads across homogeneous geography, neighboring varieties remain mutually intelligible while differences accumulate over distance (Chambers & Trudgill, 1998). But whenever a hindrance arrives – a mountain range, a large river – the dialect takes an abrupt turn. This is nature obliging us to remain distinct, to remain *dynamic*.

Crucially, our capacity for language is partially encoded in our DNA. The *FOXP2* gene has been implicated in key aspects of human language, including context-sensitive syntax, and its last mutation is dated to approximately 120,000 years before the present (Enard et al., 2002).

Language is not a random cultural artefact; it emerges from the interplay of genetics and geography. The fact that two sister languages can sound considerably different depending on geography, even where genetic differentiation is minimal, shows that environmental pressures shape linguistic dynamics directly.

4.2 The Homogenization of Language: Forcing Stasis

Now consider the past 500 years. The invention of the printing press fixed spellings and standardized sentence structures. Electronic media began eroding dialects, converging speech patterns across continents. Today, UNESCO (2022) warns that more than half of the world's languages will have vanished by the end of this century. Dalby (2003) predicts that of the approximately 5,000 languages spoken today, half will be lost within this century, and the remaining languages become less flexible, nuanced, and inventive as they grow increasingly homogenized.

International Journal of Theology, Philosophy and Science
No. 18, Year 10/2026
https://www.ifiasa.com/ijtps ISSN 2601-1697, ISSN-L 2601-1689

Our point is this: when we force languages to converge – when we standardize, digitize, and globalize – we are not simply facilitating communication. We are *forcing a dynamic system static*. What happens when a large city draws people from diverse regions? Instead of adopting the local dialect, the city often develops a new hybrid dialect.

Why?

Because nature resists restriction. It insists on dynamism, on differentiation, on freedom.

4.3 The Predicted Response

We cannot yet say with certainty how nature will respond to linguistic homogenization. But if the pattern from physics, immunology, and systems theory holds – if forced equilibrium invites compensatory decay – the response will be negative. The loss of linguistic diversity is not merely a cultural tragedy; it may be a systemic destabilization. Languages are not just tools for communication; they are vessels of worldviews, local knowledge, and distinct ways of mapping reality. When we lose a language, we lose a dynamic equilibrium that has been tuned over millennia.

Unlike physical and biological systems, we have a choice here. Linguistics is the variable where we can consciously apply dynamics. We can be dynamic just by being *willing* to be dynamic – by preserving dialects, by inventing new words, by resisting the flattening tide. This is not nostalgia. It is survival.

CONCLUSION: THE FIGHT WE CANNOT SEE

We have traversed from the expanding universe to the shrinking dialect, from the over-trained immune system to the under-examined soul. The lesson is consistent: a system that is forced to be static will not remain static. It will break, or it will turn on itself. The twentieth and twenty-first centuries have brought unprecedented standardization – in science, in medicine, in media, in language. We have mistaken homogenization for progress. But nature does not recognize our categories. It only recognizes differentials.

We are in a fight now, mostly unknowingly. And the most accessible weapon may be the one we use every day: our language. Not just to speak, but to speak *differently* – to preserve dialects, to invent new words, to resist the flattening tide of global English, Mandarin, or Spanish. This is not a nostalgic project. It is a survival strategy. In a later composition, we will explore how to fight back. For now, let us simply recognize: the dynamic is not a choice. It is a condition of existence. And those who forget it are already decaying.

International Journal of Theology, Philosophy and Science
No. 18, Year 10/2026
https://www.ifiasa.com/ijtps ISSN 2601-1697, ISSN-L 2601-1689

REFERENCES

[1] Bach, J. F., "The effect of infections on susceptibility to autoimmune and allergic diseases", in: *New England Journal of Medicine* 347 (2002), pp. 911–920.
[2] Boyd, R., and Richerson, P. J., *Culture and the Evolutionary Process*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1985.
[3] Chambers, J. K., and Trudgill, P., *Dialectology* (2nd ed.), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
[4] Clark, A., "Whatever next? Predictive brains, situated agents, and the future of cognitive science", in: *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* 36 (2013), pp. 181–204.
[5] Dalby, A., *Language in Danger: The Loss of Linguistic Diversity and the Threat to Our Future*, Columbia University Press, New York, 2003.
[6] Enard, W., et al., "Molecular evolution of FOXP2, a gene involved in speech and language", in: *Nature* 418 (2002), pp. 869–872.
[7] Klein, S. L., and Flanagan, K. L., "Sex differences in immune responses", in: *Nature Reviews Immunology* 16 (2016), pp. 626–638.
[8] Labov, W., *Principles of Linguistic Change, Vol. 2: Social Factors*, Blackwell, Oxford, 2001.
[9] Ngo, S. T., Steyn, F. J., and McCombe, P. A., "Gender differences in autoimmune disease", in: *Frontiers in Neuroendocrinology* 35 (2014), pp. 347–369.
[10] Perlmutter, S., et al., "Measurements of Ω and Λ from 42 high redshift supernovae", in: *Astrophysical Journal* 517 (1999), pp. 565–586.
[11] Prigogine, I., *From Being to Becoming: Time and Complexity in the Physical Sciences*, W. H. Freeman, San Francisco, 1977.
[12] Riess, A. G., et al., "Observational evidence from supernovae for an accelerating universe and a cosmological constant", in: *Astronomical Journal* 116 (1998), pp. 1009–1038.
[13] Rook, G. A., "Hygiene hypothesis and autoimmune diseases", in: *Clinical Reviews in Allergy & Immunology* 42 (2012), pp. 5–15.
[14] Strachan, D. P., "Hay fever, hygiene, and household size", in: *British Medical Journal* 299 (1989), pp. 1259–1260.
[15] Tomasello, M., *The Cultural Origins of Human Cognition*, Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA, 1999.
[16] UNESCO, *Atlas of the World's Languages in Danger*, UNESCO Publishing, Paris, 2022.

International Journal of Theology, Philosophy and Science
No. 18, Year 10/2026
https://www.ifiasa.com/ijtps ISSN 2601-1697, ISSN-L 2601-1689

International Journal of Theology, Philosophy and Science

IJTPS- ISSN 2601-1697 online; ISSN 2601-1689 print| https://doi.org/10.26520/ijtps
Frequency: 2 issues/year, with possible supplementary issues.

About IFIASA
Ideas Forum International Academic and Scientific Association (IFIASA) is a cultural-educational organization of distinguished members engaged in academic and scientific research.
IFIASA is a publisher, open access journals since its establishment in 2015.

IFIASA Publishing House
Târgoviște, Dâmbovița, Romania
https://www.ifiasa.com/
Email: ifiasa@yahoo.com

About IJTPS
International Journal of Theology, Philosophy and Science (IJTPS) is an international, scholarly, double-blind, peer-reviewed journal. It publishes high-quality articles of interest to scholars in the field of philosophy and serves the global philosophical community.

https://www.ifiasa.com/ijtps
Email:
ijtps_journal@yahoo.com

Abstract & Index
ERIHPLUS;
Ceeol;
CrossRef; Doi;
Ezb; WorldCat;
Google Scholar;
Philpapers;
Scilit; PubMed;
OpenAlex; Fact;
Sudoc; Ifiasa-Red;

PHILOSOPHER'S INDEX;

Publisher: IFIASA© Ideas Forum International Academic and Scientific Association